# **Comprehensive Analysis of Genomic Structural Variation**

March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2022

Next Gen Omics Conference Boston Massachusetts

**KromaTiD** 



# **Directional Genomic Hybridization**

Map genomes, identify structural variation, and profile structural heterogeneity



To provide a complete structural genomic toolset, KromaTiD combines dGH with Pinpoint FISH (for non-dividing cells) and G-Banding (for orthogonal confirmation)

### dGH<sup>™</sup>: Single Cell Measurements of Many Cells





Inversion and Unbalanced Translocation

**Whole Genome Map** 

# 10Kb Inversion between edits Edits and Integrations

# Adding an Orientation Dimension to Image Data



**Double Stranded** Metaphase Chromatid Analyte: Single Stranded dGH Chromatid

**Pink** = Fluorescently Labeled

dGH chromosomes contain 2 strands of oppositely oriented, Parental DNA only— **NO Daughter Strands** 

Single-stranded probes are designed to target only the Watson strand and only unique sequences

## Case Study: Undirected DNA Damage



Ionizing Radiation-induced DNA Damage (dGH) 0.25 Inversions Translocations 0.20 per 0.15 **0** 0.10 0.05 0.00 Pre-fliaht Post-fliaht Pre-fliaht Fliaht Post-fliaht - Control -Control

Increased rearrangements during spaceflight consistent with reported radiation doses

Inversions remain elevated, suggestive of ongoing instability damage to stem cells, clonal hematopoiesis.

- 1. The NASA Twins Study: A multidimensional analysis of a year-long human spaceflight (science.org)
- 2. Scientists Share Results From NASA's Twins Study : NPR



### **Case Study: Estimating Baseline Structural Variation**



Figure 1: Whole chromosome 1, 2 and 3 paints hybridized to a metaphase spread from a human peripheral blood sample irradiated with 2Gy Cs-137 gamma rays. Structural rearrangements identified by Directional Genomic Hybridization denoted by arrows.

#### SVs in human blood-derived lymphocytes



Figure 2: Blood samples from young adult controls were irradiated with Cs-137 gamma rays to establish a dose response (calibration) curve. Males in their mid-20's were selected to account for age at exposure. Inversions (red) had a higher natural background rate compared to translocations (blue); however, inversions formed at a higher rate per unit dose.

### **Case Study: Estimating Baseline Structural Variation**



SVs in human blood-derived lymphocytes

~0.5 aberrations per cell equivalent. Unexposed adult non-smokers. Average age 26yr

Chromosome Translocations, Inversions and Telomere Length for Retrospective Biodosimetry on Exposed U.S. Atomic Veterans - PubMed (nih.gov)

### Case Study: Two Concurrent Edits of the P53 Gene Loci



Measuring and Monitoring CRISPR-Cas9 Off-Target Effects with Directional Genomic Hybridization<sup>™</sup> (dGH<sup>™</sup>) Authors: Erin Cross, Molishree Joshi, Stephen Hughes

### Case Study: Characterization of Integration Events

Yellow = Off-Target Insert

Yellow + Green = On -Target Insert

Green = Target Site

Pink = Screen Paint



### Case Study: Characterization of Integration Events

Yellow = Off-Target Insert

Yellow + Green = On -Target Insert

Green = Target Site

Pink = Screen Paint

### **Average Integrations per cell : 7.8**

- On-target only: 2%
- On-target plus off-target: 14%
- Off-target only: 77%
- None: 7%

### Case Study: Characterization of Integration Events



### Case Study: Whole Genome Mapping



**Metaphase Spread** 

### Case Study: Whole Genome Mapping



### Case Study: Un-Sequenceable Rearrangements



### **Case Study: Un-Sequenceable Rearrangements**



# WG Analysis for the NIST Genome Editing Consortium

Whole genome dGH analysis of the "Genome in a Bottle" progenitor cell line in preparation for engineering of large variant controls by NIST partners

GM2	438	5			LCL fro	om <b>B-Lymphocyt</b>	e
Description: Affected: Sex: Age:		PERSONAL GENOME PROJECT Unknown Male 45 YR (At Sampling)					Ø
verview C	haracter	zations P	henotypic Data	Publications	Culture Protocols		e
Remark	Particip Blue ru heman from Lu cell); fa	oant (huAA bber bleb gioma; mi CL) and GM ther is GM	53E0) in the Per nevus syndrom graine with aura /127730 (stem ce 124149 (Lymph).	rsonal Genom e; central sero a; narcolepsy; ell from PBMC	e Project: http://www ous chorioretinopathy sleep paralysis; same ); mother is GM24143	y.personalgenomes.org history o y; cystoid macular degeneration; s subject as GM26105 (stem cell 3 (Lymph) and GM26077 (stem	f

#### Previous GM24385 Genome Structural Characterization:

- Karyotyping (Coriell):
  - primarily diploid
  - Potential inversion on 3q26.3q29
- Sequencing (GiaB Consortium):
  - Numerous large CNVs
  - No inversion or translocation variant calls
- Whole chromosome dGH on C3
  - Confirmed inversion on 3q26.3q29
  - Discovered telemeric inversion on 3q
  - Discovered centromeric inversion on 3q

### Case Study: Cell Line Stability

Some rate of potential intra-chromosomal CNV (band expansions) was observed

Instability and gross rearrangement of C16 matched dGH SCREEN observations





<u>GM24385 (coriell.org)</u> <u>National Institute of Standards & Technology (nist.gov)</u>

## Case Study: Cell Line Stability

•

#### Chr 16q Inversion (37%)

- small, mid-arm
- Observed in 19% of cells

#### **Chr 16p Inversion**

- small, mid-arm
- Observed in 19% of cells





### Chromosome 16 translocations (~4%)

- Non-reciprocal, balanced and unbalanced
- Partners Chr7 and Chr10



# Whole arm deletion Observed in 11% of cells



Observed in 4% of cells

radial association



Decondensed/ elongated centromeres and isochromosomes

Observed in 22% of cells



Chromosome 16 Complex Structural Variation in p18 indicates transformation and instability of cell line

### **Recurrent Inversions: Location, size, and prevalence**

Chr 3q Inversion (7%)

• large, telomeric

Confirmation of p0 G-Banding Result and p3 dGH Results (2014)

#### Chr 3q Inversion 2 (26%)

• Small, mid-arm

#### Confirmation of p3 dGH Results (2014)

#### Chr 3q Inversion 3 (13%)

• Small, telomeric

Confirmation of p3 dGH Results (2014)



Chr Xq Inversion (67%)Small, telomeric

**Newly Discovered** 



**Newly Discovered** 

Chr 8p Inversion (52%)Mid-size, mid-arm

**Newly Discovered** 



13.3 13.2 13.1 12 12

19



### Chr 12p Inversion (26%) Mid-sized, centromeric

#### **Newly Discovered**



Chr 12p Inversion 2 (30%)

• small, telomeric

#### **Newly Discovered**





Inversion 1 Inversion 2 Inversion 3

#### Chromosome



3

25 26.1

## Case Study: Cell Line Stability



S73\_c54, Cell 14 Passage 18

### Conclusions

- Expanding number of differing variations from Passage 12 to 18 indicating instability and differentiation
- No consistency of subpopulations

### **Structural Variant Summary**

- 4 Heterogenous translocations
- 34 Heterogenous inversions
- 18% variable monosomy
- 4% variable trisomy
- Low level of Chromothrypsis of C19complex events

<u>GM24385 (coriell.org)</u>, NIST GM24385 Reference Material Certificate <u>National Institute of Standards & Technology (nist.gov)</u>

## **Goal: Measure Any Variation in a Genome**



Hybridization Mapping

# **Directional Genomic Hybridization**

Map genomes, identify structural variation, and profile structural heterogeneity



To provide a complete structural genomic toolset, KromaTiD combines dGH with Pinpoint FISH (for non-dividing cells) and G-Banding (for orthogonal confirmation)

# **Comprehensive Analysis of Genomic Structural Variation**

For further information, please contact

Christopher Tompkins Chief Technical Officer ctompkins@kromatid.com



## From Sample to Target

**dGH SCREEN™** (Unbiased) **dGH DSCVR™** (Unbiased) **dGH In-Site™** (Localized)

